

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/692,270	10/23/2003	David J. Kolacz	4578 P 020	7706
7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER		
Daniel N Christus Esq			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey Ltd			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
53rd Floor			3752	
Chicago, IL 6	0606-6630		DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\N?					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/692,270	KOLACZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\neg				
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the provision of	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed experience of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	October 2003.						
•	·						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	☐ Claim(s) <u>5-15</u> is/are rejected.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) israte objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received					
See the attached detailed Office dotton for a fi	iot of the continue copies he						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 	08) 5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/692,270

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 5-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

The limitations such as a portable fire fighting monitor, a pivot inlet joint, a ball, coaxial trunnions, first ball, second ball, first axis, second axis, one way brake of claims 5-15 can be found in the cancelled claims 1-5, 8, 9, 12-14 of the original application 09/516,076.

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trapp.

Trapp discloses a portable fire-fighting monitor comprising a housing 86, a hose 72 at a pivoting inlet joint 72 pivotable about a horizontal axis.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schnipke (U.S. Patent No. 4,697,742).

Schnipke discloses a portable fire fighting having a pivoting outlet comprising a ball and socket 10, a one-way brake 702, and a coaxial trunnions 23a.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trapp in view of Gillick (U.S. Patent No. 1,665,810).

Trapp teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a ball and socket pivotable about an axis. However, Gillick discloses a first ball 16 and socket 9, a second ball 16 and socket 10, a first axis of lug 22 oriented at a right angle to a second axis of

Application/Control Number: 10/692,270

Art Unit: 3752

another lug 22 as shown in figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Trapp with a ball and socket pivotable about an axis as suggested by Gillick. Doing so would provide a flexible coupling (see page 1, lines 5+).

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trapp in view of Gillick as applied to claims 7-11, 13 above, and further in view of Schnipke.

Trapp in view of Gillick teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a one-way brake. However, Schnipke discloses a portable fire fighting having a pivoting outlet comprising a ball and socket 10, a one-way brake 702. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Trapp and Gillick with a one-way brake as suggested by Schnipke. Doing so would provide a way to prevent unwanted movement of the nozzle.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-4 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a portable fire-fighting monitor: Roser, and Miscovich.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn